

ICO consultation on the draft right of access guidance

The right of access (known as subject access) is a fundamental right of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). It allows individuals to find out what personal data is held about them and to obtain a copy of that data. Following on from our initial GDPR guidance on this right (published in April 2018), the ICO has now drafted more detailed guidance which explains in greater detail the rights that individuals have to access their personal data and the obligations on controllers. The draft guidance also explores the special rules involving certain categories of personal data, how to deal with requests involving the personal data of others, and the exemptions that are most likely to apply in practice when handling a request.

We are running a consultation on the draft guidance to gather the views of stakeholders and the public. These views will inform the published version of the guidance by helping us to understand the areas where organisations are seeking further clarity, in particular taking into account their experiences in dealing with subject access requests since May 2018.

If you would like further information about the consultation, please email SARquidance@ico.org.uk.

Please send us your response by 17:00 on **Wednesday 12 February 2020**.

Privacy statement

For this consultation, we will publish all responses received from organisations but we will remove any personal data before publication. We will not publish responses received from respondents who have indicated that they are an individual acting in a private capacity (e.g. a member of the public). For more information about what we do with personal data see our privacy notice.

Please note, your responses to this survey will be used to help us with our work on the right of access only. The information will not be used to consider any regulatory action, and you may respond anonymously should you wish. Please note that we are using the platform Snap Surveys to gather this information. Any data collected by Snap Surveys for ICO is stored on UK servers. You can read their Privacy Policy.

Q1 Does the draft guidance cover the relevant issues about the right of access?
⊠ Yes
☐ Unsure/don't know
If no or unsure/don't know, what other issues would you like to be covered in it?
All the expected guidance is present in this version, there will no doubt be developments in Data Protection and amendments will follow in due course.
Q2 Does the draft guidance contain the right level of detail?
⊠ Yes
□ No
☐ Unsure/don't know
If no or unsure/don't know, in what areas should there be more detail within the draft guidance?
The ICO request for real life examples of 'manifestly excessive' is already underway (see q4 below). The ICO should be cautious in setting out any such examples in future guidance notes.
Q3 Does the draft guidance contain enough examples?
⊠ Yes
□ No
☐ Unsure/don't know

If no or unsure/don't know, please provide any examples that you think should be included in the draft guidance.	

Q4	We have found that data protection professionals often struggle with applying and defining 'manifestly unfounded or excessive' subject access requests. We would like to include a wide range of examples from a variety of sectors to help you. Please provide some examples of manifestly unfounded and excessive requests below (if applicable).					
	We have not come across SA requests that reach or exceed the threshold required of being 'manifestly excessive'.					
	restrict an in cautious in p	dividual's SAR's rig	mercial organisation's rights by exploiting this pring Price - NOTE: The recent FT essed.	ovision, The ICO ou	ight to be	
Q5	On a scale o	of 1-5 how useful	is the draft guidance?	,		
1	- Not at all useful □	2 - Slightly useful □	3 – Moderately useful □	4 - Very useful ⊠	5 - Extremely useful	
Q6	Why have y	ou given this sco	re?			
	•	e initial guidance (emental changes and ve DPA18 –GDPR) this clea	•		
Q7	To what ext	ent do you agree	that the draft guidanc	ce is clear and eas	y to understand?	
	Strongly	Disagree	Neither agree nor	Agree	Strongly agree	
	disagree □		disagree □			
Q8	Please prov guidance.	ide any further co	omments or suggestion	ns you may have	about the draft	
	DPA 18 and the GDPR have now repositioned the legal balance between individuals and data holders, in the circumstances to enable companies or organisation's (with examples) to 'push back' would be inappropriate.					

Q9 Are you answering as:				
	 □ An individual acting in a private capacity (eg someone providing their views as a member of the public) □ An individual acting in a professional capacity ☑ On behalf of an organization □ Other 			
	Please specify the name of your organisation:			
	Trinity Knot Consulting Ltd			
	What sector are you from:			
	Management Consulting			
(210	How did you find out about this survey? ICO Twitter account ICO Facebook account ICO LinkedIn account ICO website ICO newsletter ICO staff member Colleague			
	☐ Personal/work Twitter account			
	☐ Personal/work Facebook account			
	☐ Personal/work LinkedIn account			
	□ Other			

Thank you for taking the time to complete the survey.