

ID. Date of interview
date 13/01/20

ID. Time interview started
start 17:03:01

ID.end Completion date of interview
Date 13/01/20

ID.end Time interview ended
17:16:07

ID. Duration of interview
time 13.10

Start of new case

Q1 Does the draft guidance cover the relevant issues about the right of access?

Yes

No

Unsure / don't know

If no or unsure/don't know, what other issues would you like to be covered in it?

Q2 Does the draft guidance contain the right level of detail?

Yes

No

Unsure / don't know

If no or unsure/don't know, in what areas should there be more detail within the draft guidance?

Q3 Does the draft guidance contain enough examples?

Yes

No

Unsure / don't know

If no or unsure/don't know, please provide any examples that think should be included in the draft guidance.

Q4 We have found that data protection professionals often struggle with applying and defining 'manifestly unfounded or excessive' subject access requests. We would like to include a wide range of examples from a variety of sectors to help you. Please provide some examples of manifestly unfounded and excessive requests below (if applicable).

A Sales Executive requesting a copy of all of the invoices for sales that they have made to customers where their name is the only data present relating to the individual making the request. A former employee asking for a copy of all of their emails sent during their time of employment where their name is the recipient or sender displayed on the email.

Q5 On a scale of 1-5 how useful is the draft guidance?

1 - Not at all useful	2 - Slightly useful	3 - Moderately useful	4 - Very useful	5 - Extremely useful
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Q6 Why have you given this score?

I like the structure of the document and clarity of examples.

Q7 To what extent do you agree that the draft guidance is clear and easy to understand?

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Q8 Please provide any further comments or suggestions you may have about the draft guidance.

Perhaps qualifying whether costs associated to complex redaction work may be passed on. For example, a former employee had 68,000 pages in their SAR and the scale of redaction required a specialist provider to be used incurring costs of £16,000. The request was made maliciously by the former employee so that we would incur those costs.

Q9 Are you answering as:

- An individual acting in a private capacity (eg someone providing their views as a member of the public)
- An individual acting in a professional capacity
- On behalf of an organisation
- Other

Please specify the name of your organisation:

lookers plc

What sector are you from:

automotive retail

Q10 How did you find out about this survey?

- ICO Twitter account
- ICO Facebook account
- ICO LinkedIn account
- ICO website
- ICO newsletter
- ICO staff member
- Colleague
- Personal/work Twitter account
- Personal/work Facebook account
- Personal/work LinkedIn account
- Other

If other please specify: