ID. Date of interview date  $\frac{31}{01}$ 

ID. Time interview started start 15:49:38

ID.end Completion date of interview Date  $_{31/01/20}$ 

ID.end Time interview ended 16:19:37

ID. Duration of interview time 29.98

Start of new case

Does the draft guidance cover the relevant issues about the right of access?
○ Yes
No     No
O Unsure / don't know
If no or unsure/don't know, what other issues would you like to be covered in it?
Information on the principle of proportionality would be helpful contained within the section related to finding or retrieving information (page 23)

Q1

Does the draft guidance contain the right level of detail?
O Yes
O Unsure / don't know
If no or unsure/don't know, in what areas should there be more detail within the draft guidance?
1. Advice on what would be a more formal form of authority if POA not held would be helpful? Equally it

Q2

1. Advice on what would be a more formal form of authority if POA not held would be helpful? Equally it would be helpful to clarify the entirety of the information about POA giving powers to make a SAR, as it is our understanding the Office of the Public Guardian themselves have advised that POA does not necessarily lend itself to being able to make a SAR on behalf of an individual unless it is stipulated in the POA document they are able to do this. In addition there is no such thing as a 'General POA' which is mentioned in the SAR guidance. More information is required on to what extent a POA would cover the ability to make a SAR on an individuals behalf e.g. if someone had POA over finances, does this lend itself to that person being able to make a request for the social care records of the subject? 2. It would be helpful to clarify if it is always not appropriate to disclose to parents if a child has capacity, even if there is no risk of harm and the organisation believes disclosure is in the child's best interests. 3. Further clarification would be helpful on pg. 18 of what is meant by 'any specialist work involved in redacting information or communicating in an intelligible form

Does the draft guidance contain enough examples?
○ Yes
O Unsure / don't know
If no or unsure/don't know, please provide any examples that think should be included in the draft guidance.
<ol> <li>An example would be helpful in the section of 'can we deal with a request in normal course of business'</li> <li>An example would be helpful in the section around amending data following receipt of a request as there is a suggestion this can be done in particular circumstances if general processing is still ongoing.</li> <li>A new/ updated example would be helpful in the management forecasting exemption description, and the negotiations description.</li> </ol>

Q3

We have found that data protection professionals often struggle with applying and Q4 defining 'manifestly unfounded or excessive' subject access requests. We would like to include a wide range of examples from a variety of sectors to help you. Please provide some examples of manifestly unfounded and excessive requests below (if applicable). We have applied this exemption successfully and our view on the requests was upheld ICO. This was applied after the individual and other family members had made 11 subject access requests, had gone through the entirety of the councils complaints process on 2 separate occasions, had sent approximately 350 emails over a 15 month period to various officers of the council, including directors and chief officers, as well as councillors and local MPs. The matters the requests related to had already been decided by the court, and the requests made were purely motivated by finding a basis for seeking to reopen or to continue the complaints which the Council had already responded to. The requester also engaged in accusatory language in emails, accusing officers of the council of various illegal activities. Q5 On a scale of 1-5 how useful is the draft guidance? 3 -1 - Not at all 2 – Slightly Moderately 4 – Very 5 – Extremely useful useful useful useful useful Q6 Why have you given this score? Due to the comments/ requests made for additional information, clarification, and examples to be added. Q7 To what extent do you agree that the draft guidance is clear and easy to understand? Strongly Neither agree Strongly disagree Disagree nor disagree Agree agree

Q9	Are you answering as:
	An individual acting in a private capacity (eg someone providing their views as a member of the public)
	An individual acting in a professional capacity
	On behalf of an organisation
	Other
	Please specify the name of your organisation:
	Leeds City Council
	What sector are you from:
	Public sector
Q10	How did you find out about this survey?
	O ICO Twitter account
	O ICO Facebook account
	O ICO LinkedIn account
	O ICO website
	O ICO newsletter
	O ICO staff member
	Colleague
	Personal/work Twitter account
	Personal/work Facebook account
	Personal/work LinkedIn account
	Other
	If other please specify:

Please provide any further comments or suggestions you may have about the draft guidance.

Q8