

ID. Date of interview
date 06/02/20

ID. Time interview started
start 14:00:55

ID.end Completion date of interview
Date 06/02/20

ID.end Time interview ended
14:12:32

ID. Duration of interview
time 11.62

new case

ICO consultation on the draft right of access guidance

Q1 Does the draft guidance cover the relevant issues about the right of access?

Yes

No

Unsure / don't know

If no or unsure/don't know, what other issues would you like to be covered in it?

Q2 Does the draft guidance contain the right level of detail?

Yes

No

Unsure / don't know

If no or unsure/don't know, in what areas should there be more detail within the draft guidance?

Q3 Does the draft guidance contain enough examples?

Yes

No

Unsure / don't know

If no or unsure/don't know, please provide any examples that think should be included in the draft guidance.

Q4 We have found that data protection professionals often struggle with applying and defining 'manifestly unfounded or excessive' subject access requests. We would like to include a wide range of examples from a variety of sectors to help you. Please provide some examples of manifestly unfounded and excessive requests below (if applicable).

An individual repeatedly contacts the organisation via email (e.g. 60 emails per day) as well as lengthy phone calls and voicemails to multiple recipients within the organisation. This in itself causes a lot of additional work in responding to the repeated issues raised. But when the individual subsequently submits a SAR and the request involves hundreds of hours retrieving audio phone calls and complaints correspondence, emails etc and where the purpose of the request is deemed without real purpose.

Q5 On a scale of 1-5 how useful is the draft guidance?

- | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 - Not at all useful | 2 – Slightly useful | 3 – Moderately useful | 4 – Very useful | 5 – Extremely useful |
| <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Q6 Why have you given this score?

Because it provides additional information to common issues in adapting to the new legislation.

Q7 To what extent do you agree that the draft guidance is clear and easy to understand?

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neither agree nor disagree

Agree

Strongly agree

Q8 Please provide any further comments or suggestions you may have about the draft guidance.

Q9 Are you answering as:

- An individual acting in a private capacity (eg someone providing their views as a member of the public)
- An individual acting in a professional capacity
- On behalf of an organisation
- Other

Please specify the name of your organisation:

IOW NHS Trust

What sector are you from:

Information Governance

Q10 How did you find out about this survey?

- ICO Twitter account
- ICO Facebook account
- ICO LinkedIn account
- ICO website
- ICO newsletter
- ICO staff member
- Colleague
- Personal/work Twitter account
- Personal/work Facebook account
- Personal/work LinkedIn account
- Other

If other please specify:

Contact in another professional agency