ID. Date of interview date 27/01/20

ID. Time interview started start 12:22:23

ID.end Completion date of interview Date 27/01/20

ID.end Time interview ended 13:18:04

ID. Duration of interview time 55.68

Start of new case

Q1	Does the draft guidance cover the relevant issues about the right of access?			
	○ No			
	O Unsure / don't know			
	If no or unsure/don't know, what other issues would you like to be covered in it?			

Q2	Does the draft guidance contain the right level of detail?			
	○ No			
	O Unsure / don't know			
	If no or unsure/don't know, in what areas should there be more detail within the draft guidance?			

Does the draft guidance contain enough examples?				
O Yes				
No No				
O Unsure / don't know				
If no or unsure/don't know, please provide any examples that think should be included in the draft guidance.				
Further "real life" examples regarding exemptions would be helpful				

Q3

Q4	We have found that data protection professionals often struggle with applying and defining 'manifestly unfounded or excessive' subject access requests. We would like to include a wide range of examples from a variety of sectors to help you. Please provide some examples of manifestly unfounded and excessive requests below (if applicable).						
Q5	On a scale of 1-5 how useful is the	ne draft guid	dance?				
		1 - Not at all useful	2 – Slightly useful	3 – Moderately useful	4 – Very useful	5 – Extremely useful	
Q6	Why have you given this score? We found the structure and the		how to th	nink about is	ssues to b	e very clear	
						·	
Q7	To what extent do you agree that	the draft gu	uidance is (clear and ea	sy to unde	erstand?	
		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	

Q8 Please provide any further comments or suggestions you may have about the draft guidance.

We continue to be concerned about the section regarding deleted emails. "For the avoidance of doubt, you should not regard the contents of an email as deleted merely because it has been moved to a user's 'Deleted items' folder." We consider that most individuals who have deleted an email to have reasonably thought it to be deleted and would be surprised that they need to process it further to remove beyond the scope of searches. You will be aware for example that even emails deleted from a users "Deleted items" in MS office live through further stages of recoverability prior to being purged. We are also concerned about the advice on the continued running of the clock when clarifying information from the data subject. We consider that on large an complex requests this may lead to considerable nugatory work being undertaken particularly when this relates to specifying and conducting email searches and the subsequent manual review and processing of search outputs. In our experience clarification assists greatly in developing more focused search specifications which subsequently reduce the volume of out of scope (and third party) material to be sifted.

Q9	Are you answering as:				
	An individual acting in a private capacity (eg someone providing their views as a member of the public)				
	An individual acting in a professional capacity				
	On behalf of an organisation				
	Other				
	Please specify the name of your organisation:				
	Goldsmiths University				
	What sector are you from:				
	Higher education				
Q10	How did you find out about this survey?				
	O ICO Twitter account				
	O ICO Facebook account				
	O ICO LinkedIn account				
	O ICO website				
	O ICO newsletter				
	O ICO staff member				
	Ocolleague Colleague				
	Personal/work Twitter account				
	Personal/work Facebook account				
	Personal/work LinkedIn account				
	Other				
	If other please specify:				