ID. Date of interview date 12/02/20

ID. Time interview started

start 15:24:04

ID.end Completion date of interview

Date <sub>12/02/20</sub>

ID.end Time interview ended

15:35:56

ID. Duration of interview

time <sub>11.87</sub>

## new case

ICO consultation on the draft right of access guidance

Q1	Does the draft guidance cover the relevant issues about the right of access?
	○ No
	O Unsure / don't know
	If no or unsure/don't know, what other issues would you like to be covered in it?

Does the draft guidance contain the right level of detail?
○ Yes
O Unsure / don't know
If no or unsure/don't know, in what areas should there be more detail within the draft guidance?
P23 – How do we find and retrieve relevant information – this section is not clear in relation to deletion, archiving and backups and whether something is deleted or not. P25 states that something is deleted when you try to permanently discard it and have no intention of ever using it again but then the guidance

suggests that an onerous search of archives, backup and deleted data should be carried out. It would be helpful if it set out a practical 'how to' approach on receipt of a SAR particularly around wide requests and in light of the guidance stating that you can ask for additional details to help locate the data.

Q2

in
е

Q3

defining 'manifestly unfounded or excessive' subject access requests. We would like to include a wide range of examples from a variety of sectors to help you. Please provide some examples of manifestly unfounded and excessive requests below (if applicable).

Q4

We have found that data protection professionals often struggle with applying and

Aside from the sheer volume of an 'all of my personal data' request, they are complex in that the information often contains data relating to third parties, sensitive matters and where other exemptions may apply. Such requests are extremely time consuming and resource intensive. It is not helpful if, when we request it, that individuals do not have to give any additional information to help us to locate the information which would help speed up the process.

Q5	On a scale of 1-5 how useful is the draft guidance?						
		1 - Not at all useful	2 – Slightly useful	3 – Moderately useful	4 – Very useful	5 – Extremely useful	
Q6	Why have you given this score	?					
Q7	To what extent do you agree that the draft guidance is clear and easy to understand?						
		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	

	raised above could be clearer.
Q9	Are you answering as:  An individual acting in a private capacity (eg someone providing their views as a member of the public)
	<ul> <li>An individual acting in a professional capacity</li> <li>On behalf of an organisation</li> <li>Other</li> <li>Please specify the name of your organisation:</li> </ul>
	What sector are you from: Higher Education

Please provide any further comments or suggestions you may have about the draft

The guidance is useful and welcomed but would be of more assistance if the points

Q8

guidance.

Q10	How did you find out about this survey?
	O ICO Twitter account
	O ICO Facebook account
	O ICO LinkedIn account
	O ICO website
	O ICO newsletter
	O ICO staff member
	Colleague
	Personal/work Twitter account
	Personal/work Facebook account
	Personal/work LinkedIn account
	Other
	If other please specify: