$\begin{array}{ll} \text{ID.} & \text{Date of interview} \\ \text{date} & _{03/02/20} \end{array}$ 

ID. Time interview started start 14:38:13

ID.end Completion date of interview Date  $_{03/02/20}$ 

ID.end Time interview ended 14:58:44

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{ID.} & \text{Duration of interview} \\ \text{time} & _{20.52} \end{array}$ 

Start of new case

Q1	Does the draft guidance cover the relevant issues about the right of access?			
	○ No			
	O Unsure / don't know			
	If no or unsure/don't know, what other issues would you like to be covered in it?			

Q2	Does the draft guidance contain the right level of detail?				
	○ No				
	O Unsure / don't know				
	If no or unsure/don't know, in what areas should there be more detail within the draf guidance?				

Q3	Does the draft guidance contain enough examples?			
	○ No			
	O Unsure / don't know			
	If no or unsure/don't know, please provide any examples that think should be included in the draft guidance.			

Q4	We have found that data prote defining 'manifestly unfounded or excessive' subject range of examples from a variety of sectors to hel unfounded and excessive requests below (if applicable).	ct access re	equests. W	e would like	to include	e a wide
Q5	On a scale of 1-5 how useful is t	he draft guid	dance?			
		1 - Not at all useful	2 – Slightly useful	3 – Moderately useful	4 – Very useful	5 – Extremely useful
Q6	Why have you given this score	?				
	see below re FOI but also we so response where the individual or event with their property.					
Q7	To what extent do you agree that	t the draft gu	uidance is d	clear and ea	sy to unde	rstand?
		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree

Q8 Please provide any further comments or suggestions you may have about the draft guidance.

Strongly disagree with guidance that someone making a Freedom of information request should be treated as making a SAR under GDPR. They are 2 quite different pieces of legislation and someone making an FOI request would receive different information to a SAR - if we were covered by FOI (which we are not). We are SWAMPED with SARs which take up a huge amount of our time from customer who are just seeking to either find a "smoking gun" which they can use in court proceedings or to extract compensation for us, or just for "nuisance" value because they are not happy with us. They are clearly not interested in how we process their personal data but just want to cause us as much hassle as possible. Please include guidance to people making a SAR as to what they should reasonably expect to receive. Often they misunderstand what will be provided, then are disappointed when they get the response, then make a complaint to the ICO - which doesn't help your workload.... SARs, in my experience in housebuilding, are not being used for purposes of data protection but as a stick for disgruntled customers to beat up housebuilders.

Q9	Are you answering as:			
	An individual acting in a private capacity (eg someone providing their views as a member of the public)			
	An individual acting in a professional capacity			
	On behalf of an organisation			
	Other			
	Please specify the name of your organisation:			
	What sector are you from:			
	housebuilding			
Q10	How did you find out about this survey?			
	O ICO Twitter account			
	O ICO Facebook account			
	O ICO LinkedIn account			
	O ICO website			
	O ICO newsletter			
	O ICO staff member			
	○ Colleague			
	Personal/work Twitter account			
	Personal/work Facebook account			
	Personal/work LinkedIn account			
	Other			
	If other please specify:			