Start of new case

Q1	Does the draft guidance cover the relevant issues about the right of access?  Yes  No  Unsure / don't know If no or unsure/don't know, what other issues would you like to be covered in it?  Third party health data - more specific guidance . GP should not be held responsible for passing info to third party data .
Q2	Does the draft guidance contain the right level of detail?  Yes  No Unsure / don't know If no or unsure/don't know, in what areas should there be more detail within the draft guidance? Sits on the fence, not providing firm Guidance
Q3	Does the draft guidance contain enough examples?  Yes  No  Unsure / don't know If no or unsure/don't know, please provide any examples that think should be included in the draft guidance.  Not in favour of patients or GEnersl practice

We have found that data protection professionals often struggle with defining 'manifestly unfounded or excessive' subject access requests. We would like to in range of examples from a variety of sectors to help you. Please provide some examples unfounded and excessive requests below (if applicable).						clude a wide	
	Third party organisations requ patients ability to take control		notes repe	eatedly , refu	ising to a	ccept	
<b>Q</b> 5	On a scale of 1-5 how useful is t	he draft gui	dance?				
		1 - Not at all useful	2 – Slightly useful	3 – Moderately useful	4 – Very useful	5 – Extremely useful	
Q6	Why have you given this score	?					
Q7	To what extent do you agree that the draft guidance is clear and easy to understand?						
		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	

Q8	Please provide any further comments or suggestions you may have about the draft guidance.

e providing their views as a

Thank you for taking the time to complete the survey