
DATA PROTECTION ACT 2018 AND UK GENERAL DATA PROTECTION REGULATION

REPRIMAND

TO: Chief Constable West Mercia Police

OF: Hindlip Hall Hindlip Worcester WR3 8SP

The Information Commissioner (the Commissioner) issues a reprimand to Chief Constable West Mercia Police (West Mercia Police) in accordance with Schedule 13(2)(c) of the Data Protection Act 2018 (DPA 2018) in respect of certain infringements of the DPA 2018.



Between March 2012 and March 2020, West Mercia Police and Warwickshire Police were part of a Strategic Alliance (Alliance), which sought to achieve financial benefits and operational efficiencies for both forces by sharing certain functions. Part of this Alliance saw the sharing of IT infrastructure, including the merger and consolidation of data networks and environments.

On 31 March 2020, the Alliance formally ended and the forces shared functions came to an end. However, as the process of separating out and migrating the forces data from the shared IT infrastructure to their own independent IT infrastructures would take a considerable amount of time, the forces entered into a new s22A Collaboration Agreement for Shared ICT and Digital Services (Collaboration Agreement) and IT Shared Services (ITSS) was put in place to manage the shared environment post Alliance. This agreement was in place between 1 April 2020 and 30 September 2021.

Under the Alliance, the forces had established the KCOM project with the over-arching purpose of moving all live services from the legacy network (KCOM provided) to the new network, however it also involved decommissioning servers which were no longer needed. Some of the servers identified by the KCOM project as ready for decommissioning were not decommissioned by the Alliance IT team as the task was initially

parked in favour of more pressing operational priorities. Following the end of the Alliance, the project was passed to ITSS for action.

During 2021, ITSS undertook remedial security tasks to support the forces cyber defence. These security tasks included the removal of unsupported servers, including the previously parked KCOM decommissioning list. The server containing was included within this list and had been marked by the KCOM project as ready for decommissioning. This resulted in the server being subsequently decommissioned by ITSS on 21 June 2021.

This investigation has determined that for the shared IT function under the Collaboration Agreement, the forces were acting as joint Data Controllers. ITSS was created by both forces and was jointly governed and funded at the time. As the decommissioning of the server was carried out pursuant to the ITSS function set out in the Collaboration Agreement, the Commissioner considers that the forces were acting as joint Data Controllers in respect of the decommissioning.

The reprimand

The Commissioner has decided to issue a reprimand to West Mercia Police in respect of the following alleged infringements of the DPA 2018:

Section 40 which states:

"The sixth data protection principle is that personal data processed for any of the law enforcement purposes must be so processed in a manner that ensures appropriate security of the personal data, using appropriate technical or organisational measures (and, in this principle, "appropriate security" includes protection against unauthorised or unlawful processing and against accidental loss, destruction or damage).".

• Section 66 (1) which states:

"Each controller and each processor must implement appropriate technical and organisational measures to ensure a level of security appropriate to the risks arising from the processing of personal data."

The reasons for the Commissioner's findings are set out below.

• Section 40:

The Commissioner considers that West Mercia Police has failed to ensure appropriate security, resulting in the destruction of Warwickshire Police data.

It is noted that at the time of entering the Alliance, Warwickshire Police
'back record converted' its logs from logs from logs and the information
related to 55,195 nominals. Therefore, the sanitised logs from logs from
can still be viewed by Warwickshire Police, however was the only
system which contained the unsanitised logs and the following
information:

- source/provenance including names and addresses/location of source.
- Submitting Officer including name, rank, role and shift.
- Unsanitised text including names, addresses/locations, allegations of criminal conduct, previous convictions or cautions, details of relationships and associations.
- Risk assessments regarding the including information such as previous convictions of the subject of the and/or their associates, details of any further allegations of criminal conduct.

The information which has been lost on provided important context and is needed for the assessment of reliability of the risks associated with it.

Section 66 (1):

The Commissioner considers that the ITSS decommissioning process which was in place at the time of the decommissioning was inadequate and did not ensure sufficient checks of servers prior to decommissioning.

The documentation which was used by the Alliance KCOM project team and subsequently by ITSS, as authority on the status of servers, was out of date as it incorrectly identified as being unused since March 2016. It now seems likely that the documentation was not updated since original move in 2016, leading to the KCOM project treating as sunset/archive, without further review. The incorrect information was then used by ITSS to schedule and complete the decommissioning. Overreliance on this documentation led to no cross-checking between applications and server details before decommissioning.

All decommissioning of KCOM servers was agreed by the ITSS Protection of the Live Services (POTLS) process. This included requests for decommissions being emailed out by ITSS to internal technical services for review. The peer reviewed request would then be sent to all POTLS attendees prior to the meeting and all changes were reviewed and agreed

during POTLS. The technician completing the decommissioning would complete the above process at initial power-down of the server, then wait 30 days to ensure that any user could raise an issue with the decommissioning. After 30 days POTLS would be revisited for permission to decommission the server. This should include a review of any outstanding IT tickets raised by users.

In this instance, the request to decommission the server containing did go through POTLS. However, a cut-down version of the decommissioning process was applied, in that the final removal, following the 30 day power-off was pre-approved at the first presentation to POTLS. Although an approved process at the time, this did not reinforce that all IT tickets should have been checked and updated prior to decommissioning. This contributed to ITSS missing an IT ticket which was raised by a user and which would have flagged as a system which needed to be retained.

Further to this, POTLS attendance was not mandatory for both forces and required a lot of proactivity by Project Managers to ensure that they attended the correct POTLS. Attendance and meeting minutes were also not recorded at POTLS.

Remedial steps taken by West Mercia Police

The Commissioner has also considered and welcomes the remedial steps taken by West Mercia Police in the light of this incident. In particular, West Mercia Police conducted an internal investigation to determine how the breach occurred, including a review of the ITSS decommissioning process. Following this review, the process was strengthened and the POTLS process was replaced by the Change Advisory Board (CAB). Both forces gained from this improvement under the subsequent Hosted Services Agreement which was in place from October 2021.

It is also noted that the Hosted Services Agreement came to an end in March 2022 and that both forces now have their own independent IT infrastructures and IT services.

Decision to issue a reprimand

Taking into account all the circumstances of this case, including the remedial steps, the Commissioner has decided to issue a reprimand to West Mercia Police in relation to the infringements of **section 40 and section 66 (1)** of the DPA 2018 set out above.