

DATA PROTECTION ACT 1998

SUPERVISORY POWERS OF THE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

ENFORCEMENT NOTICE

To: Arthur John James Ryan and Matthew Peter Hill, trading as Ryan Hill Partners and Hill Ryan Partnership.

Of: Lytchett House, Unit 13, Freeland Park, Wareham Road, Lytchett Matravers, Poole, BH16 6FA

1. The Information Commissioner ("the Commissioner") has decided to issue Arthur John James Ryan ("Mr Ryan") and Matthew Peter Hill ("Mr Hill"), trading as Ryan Hill Partners and Hill Ryan Partnership with an enforcement notice under section 40 of the Data Protection Act 1998 ("DPA"). The notice is being issued because of a serious contravention of Regulations 22 and 23 of the Privacy and Electronic Communications (EC Directive) Regulations 2003 ("PECR").
2. This notice explains the Commissioner's decision.

Legal framework

3. Mr Ryan and Mr Hill, trading as Ryan Hill Partners and Hill Ryan Partnership, whose business address is given above is the organisation stated in this notice to have transmitted unsolicited communications by means of electronic mail to individual subscribers for the purposes of direct marketing contrary to regulation 22 of PECR.

4. Regulation 22 of PECR states:

"(1) This regulation applies to the transmission of unsolicited communications by means of electronic mail to individual subscribers.

(2) Except in the circumstances referred to in paragraph (3), a person shall neither transmit, nor instigate the transmission of, unsolicited communications for the purposes of direct marketing by means of electronic mail unless the recipient of the electronic mail has previously notified the sender that he consents for the time being to such communications being sent by, or at the instigation of, the sender.

(3) A person may send or instigate the sending of electronic mail for the purposes of direct marketing where—

(a) that person has obtained the contact details of the recipient of that electronic mail in the course of the sale or negotiations for the sale of a product or service to that recipient;

(b) the direct marketing is in respect of that person's similar products and services only; and

(c) the recipient has been given a simple means of refusing (free of charge except for the costs of the transmission of the refusal) the use of his contact details for the purposes of such direct marketing, at the time that the details were initially collected, and, where he did not initially refuse the use of the details, at the time of each subsequent communication.

- (4) *A subscriber shall not permit his line to be used in contravention of paragraph (2)."*
5. Regulation 23 of PECR states that "A person shall neither transmit, nor instigate the transmission of, a communication for the purposes of direct marketing by means of electronic mail –
- (a) *where the identity of the person on whose behalf the communication has been sent has been disguised or concealed;*
 - (b) *where a valid address to which the recipient of the communication may send a request that such communications cease has not been provided*
 - (c) *where that electronic mail would contravene regulation 7 of the Electronic Commerce (EC Directive) Regulations 2002; or*
 - (d) *where that electronic mail encourages recipients to visit websites which contravene that regulation."*
6. Section 122(5) of the Data Protection Act 2018 "DPA18" defines direct marketing as "*the communication (by whatever means) of advertising or marketing material which is directed to particular individuals*". This definition also applies for the purposes of PECR (see regulation 2(2) PECR and paragraphs 430 & 432(6) to Schedule 19 of the DPA18).
7. Consent in PECR, between 29 March 2019 and 31 December 2020, was defined by reference to the concept of consent in Regulation 2016/679 ("the GDPR"): regulation 8(2) of the Data Protection, Privacy and Electronic Communications (Amendments etc) (EU Exit) Regulations

2019. Article 4(11) of the GDPR sets out the following definition:

“consent’ of the data subject means any freely given, specific, informed and unambiguous indication of the data subject's wishes by which he or she, by a statement or by a clear affirmative action, signifies agreement to the processing of personal data relating to him or her”.

8. Recital 32 of the GDPR materially states that *“When the processing has multiple purposes, consent should be given for all of them”*. Recital 42 materially provides that *“For consent to be informed, the data subject should be aware at least of the identity of the controller”*. Recital 43 materially states that *“Consent is presumed not to be freely given if it does not allow separate consent to be given to different personal data processing operations despite it being appropriate in the individual case”*.
9. “Individual” is defined in regulation 2(1) of PECR as *“a living individual and includes an unincorporated body of such individuals”*.
10. A “subscriber” is defined in regulation 2(1) of PECR as *“a person who is a party to a contract with a provider of public electronic communications services for the supply of such services”*.
11. “Electronic mail” is defined in regulation 2(1) of PECR as *“any text, voice, sound or image message sent over a public electronic communications network which can be stored in the network or in the recipient’s terminal equipment until it is collected by the recipient and includes messages sent using a short message service”*.
12. The DPA contains enforcement provisions at Part V which are exercisable by the Commissioner. Those provisions are modified and extended for the purposes of PECR by Schedule 1 PECR.

13. Section 40(1)(a) of the DPA (as extended and modified by PECR) provides that if the Commissioner is satisfied that a person has contravened or is contravening any of the requirements of the Regulations, he may serve him with an Enforcement Notice requiring him to take within such time as may be specified in the Notice, or to refrain from taking after such time as may be so specified, such steps as are so specified.
14. PECR were enacted to protect the individual's fundamental right to privacy in the electronic communications sector. PECR were subsequently amended and strengthened. The Commissioner will interpret PECR in a way which is consistent with the Regulations' overall aim of ensuring high levels of protection for individuals' privacy rights.
15. The provisions of the DPA remain in force for the purposes of PECR notwithstanding the introduction of the DPA18: see paragraph 58(1) of Schedule 20 to the DPA18.

The contravention

16. The Commissioner finds that Mr Ryan and Mr Hill, trading as Ryan Hill Partners and Hill Ryan Partnership (together "Ryan Hill Partners") contravened regulations 22 and 23 of PECR.
17. The Commissioner finds that the contravention was as follows:
18. The Commissioner finds that between 1 September 2019 and 30 November 2020 Ryan Hill Partners sent 463,360 SMS messages, of which 409,468 were delivered, which were unsolicited direct marketing messages sent to subscribers who had not consented to receiving

them. The Commissioner finds that Ryan Hill Partners transmitted the direct marketing messages sent, contrary to regulation 22 of PECR.

19. Ryan Hill Partners, as the sender of the direct marketing, is required to ensure that it is acting in compliance with the requirements of regulation 22 of PECR, and to ensure that valid consent to send those messages had been acquired.
20. In this instance Ryan Hill Partners did not provide any explanation or evidence to the Commissioner on whether it had obtained the consent of subscribers. No evidence was provided by Ryan Hill Partners as to the nature and extent of any consent from subscribers, or when or how any such consent had been obtained.
21. For consent to be valid it is required to be "freely given", by which it follows that if consent to marketing is a condition of subscribing to a service, the organisation will have to demonstrate how the consent can be said to have been given freely.
22. Consent is also required to be "specific" as to the type of marketing communication to be received, and the organisation, or specific type of organisation, that will be sending it.
23. Consent will not be "informed" if individuals do not understand what they are consenting to. Organisations should therefore always ensure that the language used is clear, easy to understand, and not hidden away in a privacy policy or small print. Consent will not be valid if individuals are asked to agree to receive marketing from "similar organisations", "partners", "selected third parties" or other similar generic description.
24. The Commissioner is therefore satisfied from the evidence he has seen that Ryan Hill Partners did not have the necessary valid consent for the

463,360 direct marketing SMS messages which it sent to subscribers between 1 September 2019 and 30 November 2020, of which 409,468 SMS messages were delivered.

25. The Commissioner is further satisfied that the actions of Ryan Hill Partners have contravened regulation 23 PECR. This finding is made on the basis that Ryan Hill Partners attempted to conceal their identity and were not identified in the SMS communications sent to subscribers.
26. The Commissioner has considered, as he is required to do under section 40(2) of the DPA (as extended and modified by PECR) when deciding whether to serve an Enforcement Notice, whether any contravention has caused or is likely to cause any person damage or distress. The Commissioner has decided it is likely that Ryan Hill Partners' actions had the potential, or did, cause damage or distress to the subscribers who received the unlawful marketing messages. The SMS messages included content relating to debt management, which could have led to financially vulnerable individuals being exposed to further financial harm.
27. **In view of the matters referred to above the Commissioner hereby gives notice that, in exercise of his powers under section 40 of the DPA, he requires Arthur John James Ryan and Matthew Peter Hill, trading as Ryan Hill Partners and Hill Ryan Partnership (together "Ryan Hill Partners") to take the steps specified in Annex 1 of this Notice.**

Right of Appeal

28. There is a right of appeal against this Notice to the First-tier Tribunal (Information Rights), part of the General Regulatory Chamber.
Information about appeals is set out in the attached Annex 2.

Dated the 12th December 2022

Andy Curry
Head of Investigations
Information Commissioner's Office
Wycliffe House
Water Lane
Wilmslow
Cheshire
SK9 5AF

ANNEX 1

TERMS OF THE ENFORCEMENT NOTICE

Arthur John James Ryan and Matthew Peter Hill, trading as Ryan Hill Partners and Hill Ryan Partnership (together "Ryan Hill Partners") shall within 30 days of the date of this notice:

- Except in the circumstances referred to in paragraph (3) of regulation 22 of PECR, neither transmit, nor instigate the transmission of, unsolicited communications for the purposes of direct marketing by means of electronic mail unless the recipient of the electronic mail has previously notified Ryan Hill Partners that he clearly and specifically consents for the time being to such communications being sent by, or at the instigation of, Ryan Hill Partners.
- Furthermore, Ryan Hill Partners shall neither transmit, nor instigate the transmission of, a communication for the purposes of direct marketing by means of electronic mail:
 - (a) where the identity of the person on whose behalf the communication has been sent has been disguised or concealed;
 - (b) where a valid address to which the recipient of the communication may send a request that such communications cease has not been provided
 - (c) where that electronic mail would contravene regulation 7 of the Electronic Commerce (EC Directive) Regulations 2002;
or

(d) where that electronic mail encourages recipients to visit websites which contravene that regulation.

ANNEX 2

RIGHTS OF APPEAL AGAINST DECISIONS OF THE COMMISSIONER

1. Section 48 of the Data Protection Act 1998 gives any person upon whom an enforcement notice has been served a right of appeal to the First-tier Tribunal (Information Rights) (the "Tribunal") against the notice.

2. If you decide to appeal and if the Tribunal considers:-
 - a) that the notice against which the appeal is brought is not in accordance with the law; or

 - b) to the extent that the notice involved an exercise of discretion by the Commissioner, that he ought to have exercised his discretion differently,

the Tribunal will allow the appeal or substitute such other decision as could have been made by the Commissioner. In any other case the Tribunal will dismiss the appeal.

3. You may bring an appeal by serving a notice of appeal on the Tribunal at the following address:

General Regulatory Chamber
HM Courts & Tribunals Service
PO Box 9300
Leicester
LE1 8DJ

Telephone: 0203 936 8963
Email: grc@justice.gov.uk

- The notice of appeal should be served on the Tribunal within 28 days of the date on which the enforcement notice was sent
4. The statutory provisions concerning appeals to the First-tier Tribunal (General Regulatory Chamber) are contained in sections 48 and 49 of, and Schedule 6 to, the Data Protection Act 1998, and Tribunal Procedure (First-tier Tribunal) (General Regulatory Chamber) Rules 2009 (Statutory Instrument 2009 No. 1976 (L.20)).