

# **Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA)**

This document fulfils the ICO's requirements to conduct Equality Impact Assessments, as a requirement to have due regard under the Equality Act 2010, S75 of the Northern Ireland Act 1998 and the public sector equality duty. This document helps you to assess the equality relevance of a policy or procedure on one or more groups of people with protected characteristics. Guidance is also available for Equality Impact Assessments (EqIAs), along with a glossary of issues to consider. The purpose of an EqIA is to ensure that equality issues are identified and mitigated. The guidance and 'issues to consider' documents are intended to assist with this, but they are not a substitute for consultation with people with lived experienced of any of the protected characteristics. Therefore, you should, wherever appropriate, consult with the relevant EDI staff networks or other colleagues to discuss potential impacts.

You must read the <u>quidance</u> and <u>glossary of issues to consider</u> before completing the document.

Completed EqIAs will be published on the ICO's website.

## **Summary**

#### **Prepared by:**

Hannah Brewster & Rachel Leftly

#### What is the title of this piece of work?

Customer Services Directorate Reward & Recognition Procedure

Briefly describe the overall purpose of this work.

To reward and recognise good customer service delivered by customer-facing staff. Staff will be nominated by colleagues for good customer service they have delivered, and nomination may be included on a digital wall of fame that is shared with the Customer Services Directorate.

## Initial screening questions

Q1. Does this work relate to an ICO policy, procedure, working practice or anything broadly similar? This includes both current policies and new policies under development.

Yes

If you answer **No** to this question, you may not need to complete a EqIA.

Q2. Is this work about the explanation of the laws which the ICO regulates, or about decisions to use or not use any of our regulatory powers (eg monetary penalties, enforcement notices, information notices etc)?

No

If you answer **No** to this question, you may not need to complete a EqIA.

If you answered no to both Q1 and Q2, it is best practice to rationalise why there are no negative impacts to each protected characteristic in the table below.

## Impact on people with protected characteristics

Q3. For each of the protected characteristics, you should consider whether there are any **positive impacts** for people with each characteristic and set those out in the table below. If you think there are any **negative impacts**, set those out in the table below **and** explain how you will fully mitigate those impacts. It is best practice to include three mitigations per negative impact. Sign off can only be done with a minimum of two mitigations. If you think there is no impact, please explain why you think that is the case.

Protected characteristic	Is there likely to be a specific impact on people with this characteristic?	List the mitigations proposed for each impact, stating whether the impact will be reduced or removed. Please state proposed timescale for mitigations.
Religion or belief	It's possible that people with this characteristic are not nominated for the wall of fame due to unconscious bias.	We encourage staff to attend unconscious bias training which will help to mitigate this risk. This will reduce the impact.  Nominations are given for a number of factors, including customer and peer feedback. Staff are encouraged to record feedback given by customers in casework and when on live services. This is then checked and added to the wall of fame nominations by their manager. Staff recording this information themselves will reduce the risk of unconscious bias.  It is anticipated that there will be no impact on people with this characteristic.

Protected characteristic	Is there likely to be a specific impact on people with this characteristic?	List the mitigations proposed for each impact, stating whether the impact will be reduced or removed. Please state proposed timescale for mitigations.
	It's possible that people with this characteristic are not nominated for the wall of fame due to unconscious bias	We encourage staff to attend unconscious bias training which will help to mitigate this risk. This will reduce the impact.
Race, nationality or cultural background		Nominations are given for a number of factors, including customer and peer feedback. Staff are encouraged to record feedback given by customers in casework and when on live services. This is then checked and added to the wall of fame nominations by their manager. Staff recording this information themselves will reduce the risk of unconscious bias.
		It is anticipated that there will be no impact on people with this characteristic.
	It's possible that people with this characteristic are not nominated for the wall of fame due to unconscious bias.	We encourage staff to attend unconscious bias training which will help to mitigate this risk.
Disabled people	Some staff do not work on the live services due to a disability which may mean they receive fewer nominations as this is one category on the wall of fame.	To reduce impact on people with this characteristic we will take this into account when deciding on which nominations to add to the wall of fame.

Protected characteristic	Is there likely to be a specific impact on people with this characteristic?	List the mitigations proposed for each impact, stating whether the impact will be reduced or removed. Please state proposed timescale for mitigations.
		Nominations are given for a number of factors, including customer and peer feedback. Staff are encouraged to record feedback given by customers in casework and staff are encouraged to use the Feedback function on Workday to give feedback. This is then checked and added to the wall of fame nominations by their manager. Staff recording this information themselves will reduce the risk of unconscious bias.  It is anticipated that there will be no impact
	It's possible that people with this characteristic are not nominated for the wall of fame due to unconscious bias.	on people with this characteristic.  We encourage staff to attend unconscious bias training which will help to mitigate this risk. This will reduce the impact.
Sexual orientation		Nominations are given for a number of factors, including customer and peer feedback. Staff are encouraged to record feedback given by customers in casework and when on live services. This is then checked and added to the wall of fame nominations by their manager. Staff recording this information themselves will reduce the risk of unconscious bias.

Protected characteristic	Is there likely to be a specific impact on people with this characteristic?	List the mitigations proposed for each impact, stating whether the impact will be reduced or removed. Please state proposed timescale for mitigations.
		It is anticipated that there will be no impact on people with this characteristic.
	It's possible that people with this characteristic are not nominated for the wall of fame due to unconscious bias.	We encourage staff to attend unconscious bias training which will help to mitigate this risk. This will reduce the impact.
Sex (see note 1)		Nominations are given for a number of factors, including customer and peer feedback. Staff are encouraged to record feedback given by customers in casework and when on live services. This is then checked and added to the wall of fame nominations by their manager. Staff recording this information themselves will reduce the risk of unconscious bias.
		It is anticipated that there will be no impact on people with this characteristic.
Age	It's possible that people with this characteristic are not nominated for the wall of fame due to unconscious bias.	We encourage staff to attend unconscious bias training which will help to mitigate this risk. This will reduce the impact.
3-		Nominations are given for a number of factors, including customer and peer feedback. Staff are encouraged to record

Protected characteristic	Is there likely to be a specific impact on people with this characteristic?	List the mitigations proposed for each impact, stating whether the impact will be reduced or removed. Please state proposed timescale for mitigations.
		feedback given by customers in casework and when on live services. This is then checked and added to the wall of fame nominations by their manager. Staff recording this information themselves will reduce the risk of unconscious bias.
		It is anticipated that there will be no impact on people with this characteristic.
	It's possible that people with this characteristic are not nominated for the wall of fame due to unconscious bias.	We encourage staff to attend unconscious bias training which will help to mitigate this risk. This will reduce the impact.
Gender reassignment (see note 2)		Nominations are given for a number of factors, including customer and peer feedback. Staff are encouraged to record feedback given by customers in casework and when on live services. This is then checked and added to the wall of fame nominations by their manager. Staff recording this information themselves will reduce the risk of unconscious bias.
		It is anticipated that there will be no impact on people with this characteristic.

Protected characteristic	Is there likely to be a specific impact on people with this characteristic?	List the mitigations proposed for each impact, stating whether the impact will be reduced or removed. Please state proposed timescale for mitigations.
	It's possible that people with this characteristic are not nominated for the wall of fame due to unconscious bias.	We encourage staff to attend unconscious bias training which will help to mitigate this risk. This will reduce the impact.
Marital status		Nominations are given for a number of factors, including customer and peer feedback. Staff are encouraged to record feedback given by customers in casework and when on live services. This is then checked and added to the wall of fame nominations by their manager. Staff recording this information themselves will reduce the risk of unconscious bias.
		It is anticipated that there will be no impact on people with this characteristic.
	It's possible that staff who are pregnant are taken off the live services due to health reasons, and so this may impact the number of nominations they receive.	We will take this into account when deciding on which nominations to add to the wall of fame to reduce impact.
Pregnancy and maternity	Staff who are on maternity leave are unable to receive nominations as during this time they are not delivering customer service	Nominations are given for a number of factors, including customer and peer feedback. Staff are encouraged to record feedback given by customers in casework and when on live services. This is then checked and added to the wall of fame nominations by

Protected characteristic	Is there likely to be a specific impact on people with this characteristic?	List the mitigations proposed for each impact, stating whether the impact will be reduced or removed. Please state proposed timescale for mitigations.
		their manager. Staff recording this information themselves will reduce the risk of unconscious bias.
		If feedback comes in from a peer or customer while someone is on maternity leave, their manager can add a nomination for the wall of fame in their absence.
		It is anticipated that there will be no impact on people with this characteristic.
	It's possible that people with this characteristic are not nominated for the wall of fame due to unconscious bias.	We encourage staff to attend unconscious bias training which will help to mitigate this risk. This will reduce the impact.
Political opinions		Nominations are given for a number of factors, including customer and peer feedback. Staff are encouraged to record feedback given by customers in casework and when on live services. This is then checked and added to the wall of fame nominations by their manager. Staff recording this information themselves will reduce the risk of unconscious bias.

Protected characteristic	Is there likely to be a specific impact on people with this characteristic?	List the mitigations proposed for each impact, stating whether the impact will be reduced or removed. Please state proposed timescale for mitigations.
		It is anticipated that there will be no impact on people with this characteristic.
	It's possible that people with this characteristic are not nominated for the wall of fame due to unconscious bias.	We encourage staff to attend unconscious bias training which will help to mitigate this risk. This will reduce the impact.
People with dependants		Nominations are given for a number of factors, including customer and peer feedback. Staff are encouraged to record feedback given by customers in casework and when on live services. This is then checked and added to the wall of fame nominations by their manager. Staff recording this information themselves will reduce the risk of unconscious bias.
		It is anticipated that there will be no impact on people with this characteristic.
People without	It's possible that people with this characteristic are not nominated for the wall of fame due to unconscious bias.	We encourage staff to attend unconscious bias training which will help to mitigate this risk. This will reduce the impact.
dependants		Nominations are given for a number of factors, including customer and peer feedback. Staff are encouraged to record feedback given by customers in casework and

Protected characteristic	Is there likely to be a specific impact on people with this characteristic?	List the mitigations proposed for each impact, stating whether the impact will be reduced or removed. Please state proposed timescale for mitigations.
		when on live services. This is then checked and added to the wall of fame nominations by their manager. Staff recording this information themselves will reduce the risk of unconscious bias.
		It is anticipated that there will be no impact on people with this characteristic.
	It's possible that people with this characteristic are not nominated for the wall of fame due to unconscious bias.	We encourage staff to attend unconscious bias training which will help to mitigate this risk. This will reduce the impact.
Socio-economic groups or social classes (see note 3)		Nominations are given for a number of factors, including customer and peer feedback. Staff are encouraged to record feedback given by customers in casework and when on live services. This is then checked and added to the wall of fame nominations by their manager. Staff recording this information themselves will reduce the risk of unconscious bias.
		It is anticipated that there will be no impact on people with this characteristic.

Protected characteristic	Is there likely to be a specific impact on people with this characteristic?	List the mitigations proposed for each impact, stating whether the impact will be reduced or removed. Please state proposed timescale for mitigations.
	It's possible that people with multiple characteristics are not nominated for the wall of fame due to unconscious bias	We encourage staff to attend unconscious bias training which will help to mitigate this risk. This will reduce the impact.
Multiple protected characteristics (see note 4)		Nominations are given for a number of factors, including customer and peer feedback. Staff are encouraged to record feedback given by customers in casework and when on live services. This is then checked and added to the wall of fame nominations by their manager. Staff recording this information themselves will reduce the risk of unconscious bias.  It is anticipated that there will be no impact on people with this characteristic.

Note 1: you may also wish to consider gender while considering sex, although gender is not a protected characteristic under the Equality Act or s75 of the Northern Ireland Act 1998.

Note 2: you may wish to consider the impact on transgender people while considering the protected characteristic of gender reassignment. This includes if the person is proposing to undergo, is undergoing or has undergone a process.

Note 3: Socio-economic group or social class is not a protected characteristic, but we would still like to ensure that we consider the impact of our work in this area.

Note 4: Multiple protected characteristics is an opportunity to consider whether there are issues which affect people with most or all of the protected characteristics, or where there may be different impacts of the same issue on different characteristics (eg the same issue has a positive impact on people with one protected characteristic but a negative impact on people with another protected characteristic).

Q4. The ICO has a number of legal obligations in relation to the provision of Welsh language services. Is this work being delivered in Wales, or to the people of Wales, and if so will there be a need to consider the impact on the Welsh language?

No

If you answer **Yes or Don't Know** to this question or would like further information, please contact the Welsh Regional office to discuss next steps via wales@ico.org.uk.

Q5. In interests of best practice, you should consider whether this work may have a negative impact on or contravene any Human Rights. Click this link to the find an overview of each of the human rights and further details about each. The Human Rights Act itself is available at this link. Please confirm that you have considered this and set out any actions you will take to mitigate any impacts.

It is not anticipated that our reward and recognition procedure would contravene an individual's human rights.

## Contributing towards the ICO's equality objectives

Q6. How does this work contribute towards the ICO's equality objectives? Please explain contributions, state ways contribution could be increased, or state 'no contribution'.

Objective	Contribution to objective
Objective 1: We will represent the communities	Representatives from each department in the Customer
and societies we serve	Services Directorate are involved in creating the
We believe that diverse teams make better decisions,	procedure.
boost creativity and innovation, enable greater	
professional growth and increase our understanding of	
the communities we regulate. As a workforce, we are	

Objective	Contribution to objective
the most effective and have the greatest impact when we are representative and consider different perspectives.	
Objective 2: <b>Our culture will be inclusive</b> We're at our best when we support and look out for one another, and when we trust and empower each other to be ourselves. That applies whether it's within the workplace or in the work that we do.  We have measures in place to support our diverse workforce, such as reasonable adjustments. However, we will do more to remove the barriers that are preventing people from developing and progressing.	Representatives from each department in the Customer Services Directorate are involved in creating the procedure.  All staff in the Customer Services Directorate are eligible to be nominated for the wall of fame. We have taken into consideration that some staff may wish to opt-out and how to do this will be written into the procedure.
Objective 3: We will better understand the needs of everyone to deliver services that are accessible to all  We target our regulatory interventions on the areas of greatest harm and to make a real difference to people's lives. Technological innovation by businesses means the landscape we regulate is constantly transforming. We know we're at our best when we understand the needs of all our customers, including those who experience vulnerability and communities of unmet need.	No contribution.

## Monitoring and evaluation

Q7. What arrangements are in place, or will be put in place, to monitor and evaluate the impact of the work on equality?

We will review the nominations each quarter to monitor the representation of nominees. We will review the procedure annually and make any necessary adjustments to ensure equality of opportunity.

Q8. How long will these arrangements be in place?

Quarterly & annually for the time the procedure is in place.

Q9. When do you intend to review this EqIA? This should usually be done upon any change that is made to the original piece of work that this EqIA is for.

Annually.

#### **Publication**

Q10. As stated above and in the guidance, we intend to publish all completed EqIAs on the ICO's website. Please provide detail of any necessary redactions and the intended publication date.

You should also review the wording to ensure that it is as clear as possible for any staff or public to read.

N/A.

## Governance and sign-off

The person who completes this document must be content that all potential equality issues have been identified and considered, that appropriate monitoring will be in place and the publication issues have been considered.

Please tick here to confirm that you have consulted with other colleagues and those it would largely impact where appropriate.  $\boxtimes$ 

#### Please state here who has completed the EqIA:

Signed by: Hannah Brewster & Rachel Leftly

Date: 29 July 2024

#### Approved by line manager:

Signed by: Emma Wright Date: 9 August 2024

You **must** send your completed form to corporategovernance@ico.org.uk for storage and publication.

The EDI Board provides overall assurance that the EqIA process is operating effectively, but it is not for them to review or approve EqIAs.

If you have identified any negative impacts to any protected characteristics that you cannot fully mitigate, please contact Inclusion and Wellbeing for advice via inclusionandwellbeingteam@ico.org.uk.

## Section 75 The Northern Ireland Act

To meet the NI section 75 consultation requirement, we must incorporate the following into our EqIA process. Please read through the below and implement as appropriate whilst completing your EqIA

- 1. We will externally publish a list of all EqIA screenings we complete. We should publish these quarterly. The spreadsheet will be 'housed' on the ICO website <a href="Equality and diversity">Equality and diversity</a> | ICO (these will include all EqIA screenings we complete)
- 2. Where an EqIA screen results in the need for a full EqIA on a policy, procedure or change that relates directly to the ICO carrying out its external statutory functions; we will consult with key stakeholders at the earliest opportunity for 12 weeks. By law we must consult with the Northern Ireland stakeholder list, but good practice would be to include other relevant stakeholders from across the UK. The author/approval manager will be best places to determine who these should be.
- 3. We have clarified that if we don't receive a response from these stakeholders to a consultation, that is fine. We record no response and move on with the policy, procedure or change.
- 4. We have clarified that we do not need to consult under s75 for policies that only impact our staff. Whilst its good practice to consult with staff, TU etc about changes that impact employees, ways of working etc, this type of internal change would not engage s75. We should of course complete an EqIA at the earliest opportunity, it's just that the s75 consultation requirement is unlikely to be engaged.
- 5. We have agreed that it would be for the manager who approves the EqIA to determine if a s75 consultation is needed. The Inclusion and Wellbeing team can provide support, but the author and manager will know their business area and will be best placed to assess if a new/change to a policy impacts external customer and stakeholders as part of our statutory function and should therefore be consulted on.
- 6. We have agreed that it should be for the author/approving manager to send the EqIA screening form or full EQIA form to corporate governance.

#### **EqIA version control** (to be updated by the person completing the EqIA)

Version number	1.0			
Status	Approved			

Relevant or related policies	Equality Impact Assessment Guidance
Author/owner	Hannah Brewster & Rachel Leftly
Approved by	Emma Wright
Date of sign off	9 August 2024
Review date	9 August 2025

Version	Changes made	Date	Made by

## **Template version control** (to be updated by the person updating the EqIA template)

Version number	2.4
Status	Not approved
Relevant or related policies	Equality Impact Assessment Guidance
Author/owner	EDI Board (EqIA sub group)
Approved by	Suzanne Gordon
Date of sign off	10 February 2023
Review date	February 2024

Version	Changes made	Date	Made by
0.1	Created new document.	June 2021	Chris Braithwaite
0.2	Amendment of title to EqIA and minor amendments	July 2021	Chris Braithwaite
0.2a	Amended to put protected characteristics and objectives into a	July 2021	Chris Braithwaite
	table as an option to consider		
0.3	Added wording in relation to publishing the EqIA	August 2021	Chris Braithwaite
1.0	Links added and approved	September	Chris Braithwaite
		2021	

2.0	Reviewed form and process	8 August 2022	DOC, AT, JT, RS (IWT)
2.1	DOC added in sections and review of content	28 August	DOC
2.2	Amended changes after collaboration and feedback from the EDI Steering Group	30 August 2022	IWT
2.3	Updated to include best practice consideration of the Human Rights act	26 January 2023	Chris Braithwaite
2.4	Updated to include EDI objectives	6 September 2023	Roshini Mylvaganam