re you happy to proceed?	
am happy to proceed.	
. Do you agree that this guidance clearly sets out what is required of health and care rganisations to comply with the data protection transparency principle?	
trongly agree	
(a). Do you agree that this guidance provides a clear definition of transparency and priviformation?	/ac
trongly agree	
lease provide any comments you have: In the page "What is transparency?" the relevant section should read "Transparency also applies to equirement to let people know how you will use their information (their right to be informed)". There is an all stop in the draft.	the
(b). Does the distinction between transparency information and privacy information malense to you?	ке
es	
. Do you agree that this guidance provides useful additional information to the Health & locial Care sector that is not part of our existing guidance on the principle of transparen nd the right to be informed?	
gree	
. Do you agree that this guidance is balanced between the separate areas of health an ocial care?	d
oo focused on health	
lease provide any comments you have: here is at least one place where patients are mentioned but the term 'service user' is omitted. This shoue carefully checked.	ıld
. Do you agree that the use of the terms must, should and could in this guidance clearly efines the ICO's expectations in the legislative requirements section and that the terms pplied consistently throughout the guidance?	
gree	
. Do you agree with the definitions we have provided on openness and honesty? Are the xamples of how you can demonstrate that you are being open and honest useful and courate in the context of health and care?	e
gree	
. Do you agree with that the section on harms is useful for organisations when considence risks of failing to provide sufficient transparency material?	ring

Agree

Please provide any comments you have:
Further examples might be useful for health and social care providers, particularly in relation to social care.

8. Do you agree that the section on patient engagement provides useful information to help organisations develop transparency information that responds to people's needs and priorities?

Agree

9. Do you agree that the section on providing transparency information sets out clearly how organisations should approach the delivery of transparency and privacy information?
Agree
10. Do you agree that the transparency checklist provides a useful summary of the guidance and a mechanism to assess an organisation's transparency level?
Agree
11. Have you identified any aspects of the guidance that you feel are inaccurate or any areas we have missed or not covered sufficiently? If so, please provide further details.
This section of the intro feels like it contains quite a lot of jargon that providers will not necessarily recognise: "Within health and social care, new technologies that use large amounts of personal information are being developed to support both direct care and secondary care purposes, such as planning and research. An example of this is the use of Trusted Research Environments (TREs). TREs are secure environments that provide remote access to health information in de-identified states that protect people's privacy." Definitions and explanations, or at least links to a glossary, are needed. "Deidentified states" to take one example, doesn't have an immediately obvious meaning to the average lay reader. The intro refers to a DPO as if all data controllers have one. I presume this guidance could apply where
there isn't a requirement for a DPO, so that should be clearer.
In the section on 'How do we develop transparency information?", the relevant passage should read "there are sometimes limited opportunities for people using health and care systems"
13. To what extent do you agree that the impact assessment summary table adequately scopes the main affected groups and associated impacts of the guidance?
Agree
14. Can you provide us with any further evidence for us to consider in our impact assessment?
No
15. Please provide any further comments or suggestions you may have about the impact assessment summary table.
None.
16. Are you acting on behalf of an organisation?
Yes
17. Are you answering as: (tick all that apply)
A representative of a professional, industry or trade association
18. Please specify the name of your organisation (optional):
The Homecare Association
19. How would you describe your organisation's size?
10 to 249 members of staff

20. If you work in a health or social care providing organisation, how many patients or care users is your organisation responsible for (approximately)?
N/A
21. Who in your organisation needs to read the guidance? Please provide job titles or roles, rather than names.
Policy team.
22. To what extent (if at all) do data protection issues affect strategic or business decisions within your organisation?
Data protection is a relatively minor feature in decision making
23. Do you think the guidance set out in this document presents additional:
benefit(s) to your organisation
24. Could you please describe the types of additional costs or benefits your organisation might incur?
As a representative membership body, we need to be able to advise members on the implications of the guidance, or at the very least direct them to it. It is important that we understand its implications and as such it benefits us as it enhances our ability to support members.
25. Can you provide an estimate of the costs or benefits your organisation is likely to incur and briefly how you have calculated these?
As above, the benefit is intangible. We are a small organisation and we are not directly affected ourselves by the guidance. It is only of relevance to us in respect of supporting our members.
26. Please provide any further comments or suggestions you may have about how the guidance might impact your organisation?
We think it will be helpful in relevant circumstances for our members, who are all homecare providers.
Do you have any other comments you would like to make?
No.