

Information Commissioner's Office

# Consultation:

## **Direct Marketing Code**

Start date: 8 January 2020

End date: 4 March 2020

### Introduction

The Information Commissioner is producing a direct marketing code of practice, as required by the Data Protection Act 2018. A draft of the code is now out for public consultation.

The draft code of practice aims to provide practical guidance and promote good practice in regard to processing for direct marketing purposes in compliance with data protection and e-privacy rules. The draft code takes a life-cycle approach to direct marketing. It starts with a section looking at the definition of direct marketing to help you decide if the code applies to you, before moving on to cover areas such as planning your marketing, collecting data, delivering your marketing messages and individuals rights.

The public consultation on the draft code will remain open until **4 March 2020**. The Information Commissioner welcomes feedback on the specific questions set out below.

You can email your response to <a href="mailto:directmarketingcode@ico.org.uk">directmarketingcode@ico.org.uk</a>

Or print and post to:

Direct Marketing Code Consultation Team Information Commissioner's Office Wycliffe House Water Lane Wilmslow Cheshire SK9 5AF

If you would like further information on the consultation, please email the <u>Direct Marketing Code team</u>.

#### Privacy statement

For this consultation we will publish all responses received from organisations except for those where the response indicates that they are an individual acting in a private capacity (eg a member of the public). All responses from organisations and individuals acting in a professional capacity (eg sole traders, academics etc) will be published but any personal data will be removed before publication (including email addresses and telephone numbers).

For more information about what we do with personal data please see our <u>privacy notice</u>

Q1	Is the draft code clear and easy to understand?			
	⊠ Yes			
	□ No			
	If no please explain why and how we could improve this:			
•				
Q2	Does the draft code contain the right level of detail? (When answering please remember that the code does not seek to duplicate all our existing data protection and e-privacy guidance)			
	⊠ Yes			
	□ No			
	If no please explain what changes or improvements you would like to see?			
i				

Q3	Does	the draft code cover the right issues about direct marketing?		
	$\boxtimes$	Yes		
		No		
		please outline what additional areas you would like to see overed:		
Q4	Q4 Does the draft code address the areas of data protection and e- privacy that are having an impact on your organisation's direct marketing practices?			
	$\boxtimes$	Yes		
		No		
I	f no p	lease outline what additional areas you would like to see covered		
I	f no p	lease outline what additional areas you would like to see covered		
I	f no p	lease outline what additional areas you would like to see covered		
I	f no p	lease outline what additional areas you would like to see covered		
1	f no p	lease outline what additional areas you would like to see covered		
1	f no p	elease outline what additional areas you would like to see covered		
I	f no p	elease outline what additional areas you would like to see covered		

Q5	Is it e	easy to find information in the draft code?
	$\boxtimes$	Yes
		No
	If no,	, please provide your suggestions on how the structure could be oved:
Q6		ou have any examples of direct marketing in practice, good or bad, you think it would be useful to include in the code
		Yes
	$\boxtimes$	No
]	If yes,	please provide your direct marketing examples :
I		

This new draft seems more focused on consent rather than legitimate interest. Examples of what would be considered not compliant contradict the "soft opt in" guidelines where the consumer or contact may reasonably expect to receive information and the method of delivery will not be intrusive or invasive. Particularly when customers sign up to a service and would legitimately expect to receive updates on related services without having to go through a separate opt in, or an opt in every six months. For example, purchasing a product from a retailer, they would expect to receive catalogue updates, but should be given the option to remove themselves from the list.

The code should be robust and enforced to ensure that data is protected and individuals have the right to remove themselves from data lists and stop receiving targeted marketing, however it should not restrict marketing that is appropriate and may enhance consumers buying choices and options.

The Print Industry is keen that this code does not produce a return to mass, targeted material that becomes a nuisance and does not have the impact or give consumers the relevant experience that carefully targeted use of data provides for Direct Mail.

### About you

Q8 Are you answering as:				
<ul> <li>□ An individual acting in a private capacity (eg someone providing their views as a member of the public)</li> <li>□ An individual acting in a professional capacity</li> <li>☑ On behalf of an organisation</li> <li>☑ Other</li> </ul>				
Please specify the name of your organisation:				
Independent Print Industries Association				
If other please specify:				
Not For Profit Trade Body				
Q9 How did you find out about this survey?				
☐ ICO Twitter account				
☐ ICO Facebook account				
☐ ICO LinkedIn account				
☐ ICO website				
☐ ICO newsletter				
☐ ICO staff member				
□ Colleague				
□ Personal/work Twitter account				
□ Personal/work Facebook account				
□ Personal/work LinkedIn account				
Other				
If other please specify:				
Members of the Association				

Thank you for taking the time to complete the survey